



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bos. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/678,020	10/04/2000		Kiichiro Takahashi	1272.C0439	6101	
5514	7590	01/20/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FITZPATR 30 ROCKEF		LA HARPER	BRINICH, STEPHEN M			
NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	•			2624	-	

DATE MAILED: 01/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR I PATENT IN REEXAMINATION		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/678020				
			EXAMINER	
			ART UNIT	PAPER
				20051110
			DATE MAILEI) :

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

	Application No.	Applicant(s)							
Office Action Comment	09/678,020	TAKAHASHI ET AL.							
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit							
	Stephen M. Brinich	2624							
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).							
Status									
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Se	entember 2005								
	action is non-final.								
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E									
Disposition of Claims	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,								
4) Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.	/are withdrawn from consideration	•							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2-4,6,13-15 and 17</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
·									
6) Claim(s) <u>1,5,7-12,16 and 18-22</u> is/are rejected.									
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.								
Application Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner									
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	pted or b)□ objected to by the E	xaminer.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	lrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	, ,	-(d) or (f).							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents									
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	·								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	•	d in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not received	d.							
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te stent Application (PTO-152)							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	лон Аррисанон (F1O-132)							

Art Unit: 2624

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 1, 5, 7-12, 16, & 18-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Helterline et al.

Re claims 1 & 12, Helterline et al discloses (Abstract; column 4, line 45 - column 5, line 32) an image processing and printing system in which a plurality of density correction data (contents of look-up table 52) are derived from a judgment of printing conditions (actual print density compared to ideal density of a printout). These data are then retained and used to perform density correction corresponding the result of this judgment, thereby calibrating the printer. Printing is thus performed in accordance with these printing conditions.

Re claims 5 & 16, the printing calibration regulates the volume of a generated ink drop (column 4, lines 55-63), which inherently determines the dot size generated by an ink jet printer. This determination is performed in accordance with printing conditions (as described above re claims 1 & 12) that are determined in accordance with image data (the line width actually produced and the ideal line width desired).

Art Unit: 2624

Re claims 7-8 & 18-19, Helterline et al discloses a plurality of print elements (column 5, lines 33-47), each of which uses the retained calibration data.

Re claims 9-10 & 20-21, Helterline et al discloses (column 1, lines 43-48) an ink jet printing process in which ink is ejected from nozzles using thermal energy.

Re claims 11 & 22, Helterline et al discloses (column 5, lines 15-32) a printing condition judgment by means of a simulation printout (a "calibration run").

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 7/14/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues (Response filed 7/14/05: page 2, lines 8-21) that the presently claimed "density correction data" and the presently claimed "printing condition" do not read upon the elements of the Helterline look-up table 52. In particular, Applicant argues (Response filed 7/14/05: page 2, lines 14-20) that the input ΔDV of Helterline is not a "printing condition" as set forth in the present invention and does not correspond to the stored "density correction data" of the present invention.

Concerning the latter point, the outstanding rejection of record does not read the "density correction data" on the input ADV of Helterline, but rather reads the "density correction Art Unit: 2624

data" on the *output from* table 52 (which, by the inherent functioning of a look-up table, is stored therein).

Concerning the former point, the output from table 52 of Helterline is generated in response to the input ΔDV (Helterline column 4, line 60 - column 5, line 5) and has a direct effect upon the printed output of (Helterline column 5, lines 5-14). Thus, the quantity ΔDV is a condition according to which the printing means performs printing (i.e. a change in the quantity ΔDV will produce a corresponding change in the printing as a direct result), and is thus readable on the recited "printing condition".

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning the contents of this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. Brinich at 571-272-7430.

Any inquiry relating to the status of this application or proceeding or any inquiry of a general nature concerning application processing should be directed to the Tech Center 2600 Customer Service center at 571-272-2600 or to the USPTO Contact Center at 800-786-9199 or 703-308-4357.

The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays 7:00-4:30, alternate Fridays off.

Application/Control Number: 09/678,020

Art Unit: 2624

If attempts to contact the examiner and the Customer Service Center are unsuccessful, supervisor David Moore can be contacted at 571-272-7437.

Faxes pertaining to this application should be directed to the Tech Center 2600 official fax number, which is 571-273-8300 (as of July 15, 2005).

Hand-carried correspondence may be delivered to the Customer Service Window, located at the Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314.

Stephen M Brinich

Page 5

Examiner

Art Unit 2624

smb

January 10, 2006